

Docket No. 10010354-1

**Remarks**

This Amendment is responsive to the Final Office Action of January 13, 2006. Reexamination and reconsideration of claims 1, 3, 4, 6-9, 18-23, and 25-26 are respectfully requested.

**Summary of The Office Action**

Claims 18-23, 25, and 26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 3, 4, and 6-9 stand allowed.

Claims 18-23, 25, and 26 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, set forth in the Final Office Action.

**The Present Amendment**

Claims 18 and 25 have been amended to address the examiner's rejection regarding the term "user identifier" and from where it is received. All claims are now believed to comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Applicant respectfully requests that the present amendment be entered because it places the application and all claims in condition for allowance.

No other rejections remain.

**Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance**

While the Applicant agrees with the position of patentability of the claims, Applicant does not believe the paraphrased reasons of patentability in the Office Action fully reflect the

Docket No. 10010354-1


scope of the claims. Applicant respectfully submits that the scope of each allowed claim is based on the language corresponding to that claim and its equivalents.

**Conclusion**

For the reasons set forth above, claims 1, 3, 4, 6-9, 18-23, and 25-26 are now in condition for allowance. An early allowance of all claims is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

MAR. 10, 2006



PETAR KRAGULJAC (Reg. No. 38,520)

(216) 348-5843

McDonald Hopkins Co., LPA  
600 Superior Avenue, E.  
Suite 2100  
Cleveland, OH 44114